

Computational Linguistics 1

CMSC/LING 723, LBSC 744



Kristy Hollingshead Seitz
Institute for Advanced Computer Studies
University of Maryland

Lecture 13: 13 October 2011

Agenda

- Homework
 - HW2 – graded end-of-day
 - HW3 – due today! (please hand in writeups at front)
- Questions, comments, concerns?
- Supervised Learning – Discriminative Training
 - Perceptron
 - CRFs
 - Features
- Midterm Review

Computational Linguistics 1

2

Discriminative Training

- Statistical model training involves maximizing some *objective function*
- For an HMM, we use maximum likelihood training
 - Maximize the probability of the training set
- Reduction in errors is the true objective of learning
- Another option is to try to directly optimize error rate or some other closely related objective
- Consider not just truth, but also other candidates

Computational Linguistics 1

3

Perceptron

- One approach that has been around since late 60s is the perceptron
- Basic idea:
 - Find the best scoring analysis (e.g. POS tag sequence)
 - Make its score lower, by penalizing its *features*
 - Make the score of the truth better, by rewarding its features
 - Go onto the next example

Computational Linguistics 1

4

Formal Definition of Perceptron Algorithm

Formally, perceptron approach assumes:

- Training examples (x_i, y_i) for $i = 1 \dots N$ where x_i is the input and y_i is the true output.
 - e.g. $(w_1 \dots w_k, \tau_1 \dots \tau_k)$, where $\tau_1 \dots \tau_k$ is the true tag sequence
- A function **GEN** which enumerates a set of candidates **GEN**(x) for an input x .
 - e.g., run the tagger over input word sequence x , to output tag-sequence candidates
- A **representation** Φ mapping each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ to a d -dimensional *feature vector* $\Phi(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- A **parameter vector** $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
 - e.g., a vector of weights, one for each feature in Φ

Computational Linguistics 1

5

Perceptron Algorithm

- **Inputs:** Training examples (x_i, y_i)
- **Initialization:** Set $\alpha = 0$
- **Algorithm:**
 - For $t = 1 \dots T, i = 1 \dots N$
 - Calculate $z_i = \operatorname{argmax}_{z \in \text{GEN}(x_i)} \Phi(x_i, z) \cdot \alpha$
 - If $(z_i \neq y_i)$ then $\alpha = \alpha + \Phi(x_i, y_i) - \Phi(x_i, z_i)$
- **Output:** Parameters α

Computational Linguistics 1

6

Perceptron: Notes

- Because this technique is optimizing (sequence) error rate, it does not involve a normalization factor
- Thus, it will overtrain
 - i.e. it will do very well on the training set, but not so well on new data, like unsmoothed maximum likelihood
 - Techniques exist for controlling overtraining, such as regularization, voting, and averaging
- Perceptron models outperform maximum likelihood-optimized models on a range of tasks
 - POS-tagging, NP-chunking

Agenda

- Homework
- Supervised Learning – Discriminative Training
 - Perceptron
 - CRFs
 - Features
- Midterm Review

Conditional Random Fields (CRFs)

- The perceptron algorithm only pays attention to best-scoring (argmax) path
- What if there were two top analyses, very close in score?
 - Should penalize features on both
 - How do we allocate the penalty?
- CRFs are a way to do this, by optimizing the conditional log-likelihood of the truth

Formal Definition of CRFs

- Define a conditional distribution over the members of $\text{GEN}(x)$ for a given input x :

$$p_{\bar{\alpha}}(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z(x, \bar{\alpha})} \exp(\Phi(x, y) \cdot \bar{\alpha})$$

- where

$$Z(x, \bar{\alpha}) = \sum_{y \in \text{GEN}(x)} \exp(\Phi(x, y) \cdot \bar{\alpha})$$

- (Can be calculated with forward-backward algorithm!)

CRF objective function

- Choose α to maximize the conditional log-likelihood of the training data:

$$LL(\bar{\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log p_{\bar{\alpha}}(y_i|x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N [\Phi(x_i, y_i) \cdot \bar{\alpha} - \log Z(x_i, \bar{\alpha})]$$

- Use a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the parameters resulting in the regularized objective function:

$$LL_R(\bar{\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^N [\Phi(x_i, y_i) \cdot \bar{\alpha} - \log Z(x_i, \bar{\alpha})] - \frac{\|\bar{\alpha}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

- where the value σ is typically estimated on heldout data.

CRF Optimization

- The objective function is convex and there is a globally optimal solution
- Can use general numerical optimization techniques to find the global optimum
 - e.g. for a language modeling project we used a general *limited memory variable metric* method to optimize LL_R from a publically available software library
- The optimizer needs the function value and the derivative (or gradient)

Derivative of LL_R : Refresher

Remember the chain rule:

$$\frac{df(g(x))}{dx} = \frac{df}{dg} \frac{dg}{dx}$$

Also remember derivative of (natural) log:

$$\frac{d \log(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{x}$$

And don't forget the derivative of exp:

$$\frac{d \exp(ax)}{dx} = a \exp(ax)$$

Derivative of LL_R

$$LL_R(\bar{\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^N [\Phi(x_i, y_i) \cdot \bar{\alpha} - \log Z(x_i, \bar{\alpha})] - \frac{\|\bar{\alpha}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sum_{j=1}^d \Phi_j(x_i, y_i) \bar{\alpha}_j - \log \sum_{y \in \text{GEN}(x_i)} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \Phi_j(x_i, y) \bar{\alpha}_j \right) \right] - \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\bar{\alpha}_m^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial LL_R}{\partial \alpha_s} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\Phi_s(x_i, y_i) - \frac{\sum_{y \in \text{GEN}(x_i)} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \Phi_j(x_i, y) \bar{\alpha}_j \right) \Phi_s(x_i, y)}{\sum_{y \in \text{GEN}(x_i)} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \Phi_j(x_i, y) \bar{\alpha}_j \right)} \right] - \frac{2\alpha_s}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\Phi_s(x_i, y_i) - \sum_{y \in \text{GEN}(x_i)} \frac{\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \Phi_j(x_i, y) \bar{\alpha}_j \right)}{Z(x_i, \bar{\alpha})} \Phi_s(x_i, y) \right] - \frac{\alpha_s}{\sigma^2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\Phi_s(x_i, y_i) - \sum_{y \in \text{GEN}(x_i)} p(y|x_i) \Phi_s(x_i, y) \right] - \frac{\alpha_s}{\sigma^2}$$

Perceptron vs CRFs

- Training time
 - More expensive (calculating derivative) for CRFs...
 - ...but can be parallelized
- Performance
 - In Sha & Pereira, perceptron performance not statistically significantly different from CRF with same feature set

Agenda

- Homework
- Supervised Learning – Discriminative Training
 - Perceptron
 - CRFs
 - Features
- Midterm Review

Features (Φ)

- Good feature sets matter a lot
- These discriminative methods allow for easy use of many features
 - Unlike HMM based methods
- Examples of feature sets

Features for Shallow Parsing

Sha & Pereira, 2003

$g(y_{i-1}, y_i)$	$p(x, t)$
$y_i = y$	true
$y_i = y, y_{i-1} = y'$	
$c(y_i) = c$	
$y_i = y$	$w_i = w$
or	$w_{i-1} = w$
$c(y_i) = c$	$w_{i+1} = w$
	$w_{i-2} = w$
	$w_{i+2} = w$
	$w_{i-1} = w', w_i = w$
	$w_{i+1} = w', w_i = w$
	$t_i = t$
	$t_{i-1} = t$
	$t_{i+1} = t$
	$t_{i-2} = t$
	$t_{i+2} = t$
	$t_{i-1} = t', t_i = t$
	$t_{i-2} = t', t_{i-1} = t$
	$t_i = t', t_{i+1} = t$
	$t_{i+1} = t', t_{i+2} = t$
	$t_{i-2} = t'', t_{i-1} = t', t_i = t$
	$t_{i-1} = t'', t_i = t', t_{i+1} = t$
	$t_i = t'', t_{i+1} = t', t_{i+2} = t$

c_i is the class of w_i
 t_i is the POS-tag of w_i
 $y_i = c_{i-1} c_i$
 e.g. BI or IO,
 but never OI
 $c(y_i) = c_i$

Table 1: Shallow parsing features

Features for Tagging, & OOVs

Ratnaparkhi, 1993

Condition	Features
w_i is not rare	$w_i = X$ & $t_i = T$
w_i is rare	X is prefix of w_i , $ X \leq 4$ & $t_i = T$
	X is suffix of w_i , $ X \leq 4$ & $t_i = T$
	w_i contains number & $t_i = T$
	w_i contains uppercase character & $t_i = T$
$\forall w_i$	w_i contains hyphen & $t_i = T$
	$t_{i-1} = X$ & $t_i = T$
	$t_{i-2}t_{i-1} = XY$ & $t_i = T$
	$w_{i-1} = X$ & $t_i = T$
	$w_{i-2} = X$ & $t_i = T$
	$w_{i+1} = X$ & $t_i = T$
	$w_{i+2} = X$ & $t_i = T$

Table 1: Features on the current history h_i

Computational Linguistics 1

19

Instantiated Features

Word:	the	stories	about	well-heeled	communities	and	developers
Tag:	DT	NNS	IN	JJ	NNS	CC	NNS
Position:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Table 2: Sample Data

$w_i =$ about	& $t_i =$ IN
$w_{i-1} =$ stories	& $t_i =$ IN
$w_{i-2} =$ the	& $t_i =$ IN
$w_{i+1} =$ well-heeled	& $t_i =$ IN
$w_{i+2} =$ communities	& $t_i =$ IN
$t_{i-1} =$ NNS	& $t_i =$ IN
$t_{i-2}t_{i-1} =$ DT NNS	& $t_i =$ IN

Table 3: Features Generated From h_3 (for tagging about) from Table 2

Computational Linguistics 1

20

Instantiated Features

Word:	the	stories	about	well-heeled	communities	and	developers
Tag:	DT	NNS	IN	JJ	NNS	CC	NNS
Position:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

$w_{i-1} =$ about	& $t_i =$ JJ
$w_{i-2} =$ stories	& $t_i =$ JJ
$w_{i+1} =$ communities	& $t_i =$ JJ
$w_{i+2} =$ and	& $t_i =$ JJ
$t_{i-1} =$ IN	& $t_i =$ JJ
$t_{i-2}t_{i-1} =$ NNS IN	& $t_i =$ JJ
prefix(w_i)= w	& $t_i =$ JJ
prefix(w_i)= we	& $t_i =$ JJ
prefix(w_i)= $well$	& $t_i =$ JJ
prefix(w_i)= $well$	& $t_i =$ JJ
suffix(w_i)= d	& $t_i =$ JJ
suffix(w_i)= ed	& $t_i =$ JJ
suffix(w_i)= led	& $t_i =$ JJ
suffix(w_i)= $eled$	& $t_i =$ JJ
w_i contains hyphen	& $t_i =$ JJ

Table 4: Features Generated From h_4 (for tagging well-heeled) from Table 2

Computational Linguistics 1

21

Agenda

- Homework
- Supervised Learning – Discriminative Training
 - Perceptron
 - CRFs
 - Features
- Midterm Review

Computational Linguistics 1

22

Midterm Topics

- Sequences and n-grams
- FSAs, FSTs
 - Construction
 - Composition
- Smoothing
 - Algorithms
 - Interpolation, Backoff
- HMMs
 - Tagging
 - Viterbi
 - Forward-Backward

Computational Linguistics 1

23

Midterm Format

- Some short answer questions
- Some basic numerical computation
- Questions from the homeworks
- *No programming*
- Ground rules:
 - Work completely independently – no communication of any kind
 - No communication with the TA or instructor
 - Open book, open note. *Not* open internet, except for web pages explicitly linked from the class webpage.
 - Turn in a hard copy on Tuesday October 25 (or earlier, to Kristy)

Computational Linguistics 1

24

Agenda: Summary

- Supervised Learning – Discriminative Training
 - Perceptron
 - CRFs
 - Features
- Midterm Review